

**MINUTES OF THE EMERGENCY MEETING OF THE NATIONAL STAKEHOLDERS WORKING GROUP (NSWG) HELD ON THURSDAY, 13<sup>th</sup> JUNE 2018 AT THE NEITI CONFERENCE ROOM, ABUJA.**

An emergency meeting of the NSWG was held on 13<sup>th</sup> June 2018 at the Conference Room of NEITI, Abuja.

**Attendance**

S/N	Name	
1.	Dr. Mahmoud Isa-Dutse	Chair for the meeting
2.	Prof. Gbenga Okunlola	NSWG Member
3.	Mr. Kolawole Banwo	“
4.	Otunba Gbenga Onayiga	“
5.	Mrs. Adaeze Onyekwena	“
6.	Dr. Bernard Verr	“
7.	Comrade Igwe Achese	
8.	Barrister Hannatu Musawa	“
9.	Alhaji Sani Shehu	“
10.	Alhaji Lawan Gana Lantewa	“
11.	Mr. Bunmi Toyobo	proxy for the OPTS Chairman
12.	Mr. Godwin Okonkwo	proxy for the GMD NNPC
13.	Mr. Waziri Adio	Member & Executive Secretary (ES)

**Absent with apology**

1.	Dr. Kayode Fayemi	Chairman
2.	Mr. Emmanuel Chiejina	Member

**NEITI Staff in Attendance**

1.	Mr. Peter B. Ogbobine	Director Legal Services
----	-----------------------	-------------------------

<b>REFERENCE NSWG/2018/1</b>	
1	Meeting commenced at 09205hrs with a prayer by Mr. Bunmi Toyobo.
2	<b>CHAIRMAN’S OPENING REMARKS</b>
3	Dr. Mahmoud Isa-Dutse informed the meeting that the substantive Chairman (Dr. Kayode
4	Fayemi) of the NSWG has resigned his appointment as the Honourable Minister of Mines and
5	Steel Development, to contest for the governorship of Ekiti State and that the Chairman had
6	further asked him (Dr. Mahmoud Isa-Dutse) to act as the Chairman for this meeting.
7	The acting Chairman and members wished the Chairman well in his quest to become the next
8	governor of Ekiti State.
9	The Chairman also informed the meeting that Mrs. Adaeze Onyekwena recently earned a
10	doctorate degree from the University of Nigeria, Nsukka. And today is also the birthday of
11	the Waziri Adio, the ES of NEITI. Members thereafter congratulated both Mrs. Adaeze
12	Onyekwena and the ES and wished them the best.
13	<b>AGENDA</b>
14	The proposed order of the agenda for the meeting was amended to include “approval of the
15	Audited Accounts of NEITI 2017”. Thereafter the agenda for the meeting was adopted
16	following a motion by Mrs. Adaeze Onyekwena and seconded by Mr. Bunmi Toyobo.
17	<b>BRIEF PRESENTATION ON VALIDATION BY THE ES</b>
18	The ES welcomed members to the first NSWG meeting in the new office.
19	He also thanked NSWG members for their support in ensuring a smooth movement to the
20	new office. The reason for this emergency meeting was to discuss the second EITI Validation
21	exercise which is due to commence on the 11 <sup>th</sup> July 2018. Nigeria made “Meaningful
22	Progress” in the initial validation conducted in 2016. As a result of that, the EITI Board
23	identified sixteen corrective measures NEITI had to make to achieve “Satisfactory Progress”
24	compliance with the EITI Standard. The corrective measures include various decisions that
25	the NSWG has to make. This meeting was convened to make those decisions.
26	Furthermore the NSWG would also be required to approve the 2017 EITI Annual Progress
27	Report which is meant to be published on or before the 1 <sup>st</sup> July 2018.
28	In addition the approval of the NSWG would be required for the Audited Accounts of NEITI
29	for 2017 ( <b>Accounts</b> ) before the statutory deadline of 30 <sup>th</sup> June 2018.
30	<b>Comments</b>
31	The usefulness of the validation exercise vis-à-vis impact of the EITI process was discussed
32	by the NSWG members. Whilst certain reservations were expressed about the whole
33	Validation exercise, the general consensus of the NSWG was that Validation should be
34	regarded as a self-assessment tool for improvement but emphasis should be more on impact.
35	Nonetheless, the NSWG advised the ES to make known its reservations at the forthcoming
36	EITI Board meeting on the 28 <sup>th</sup> July 2018 in Berlin, Germany.

37	<b>VALIDATION DECISIONS FOR APPROVAL</b>
38	The Ag. Chairman of the NSWG Ad-hoc Committee on Validation (Professor Gbenga
39	Okunlola) informed the NSWG that the committee met on the 12 <sup>th</sup> June 2018 to deliberate on
40	some of the correctives issues that needed the approval of the NSWG before the imminent
41	Validation. The issues and resolutions recommended for approval of the NSWG are:
41	<b>2015 OIL &amp; GAS REPORT</b>
42	<b>1. Scope and materiality</b>
43	The NSWG is to consider the following as all revenue streams that accrued to the Federal
44	Government from the oil & gas sector:
45	a. Proceeds from the sale of federation equity crude oil
46	b. Proceeds from the sale of domestic crude oil
47	c. Proceeds from the sale of federation equity gas
48	d. Proceeds from the sale of feedstock
49	e. Petroleum Profit Tax
50	f. Royalty (oil)
51	g. Royalty (gas)
52	h. Signature bonus
53	i. Gas flared penalties
54	j. License and acreage rental
55	k. Companies income tax
56	l. Dividends and repayment of loans by NLNG
57	m. Education tax
58	n. NESS fee
59	o. Pipeline transportation fees
60	<b>PRAYER:</b> The NSWG is required to approve the list of revenue streams.
61	For the purposes of determining materiality the NSWG considered the:
62	1. significance of each revenue stream, and
63	2. percentage contribution of each stream to the total revenue.
64	<b>PRAYER:</b> The NSWG is required to consider the options above and approve the basis of
65	determining materiality.
66	The motion to approve both prayers was moved by Dr. Bernard Verr and seconded by Alhaji
67	Lawan Gana Lantewa.
68	<b>BE IT RESOLVED</b> that the NSWG approved the list of revenue streams and also approved
70	the options in the determination of materiality threshold for the conduct of the EITI report.
71	<b>2. Materiality threshold for revenue streams</b>
72	The ad-hoc committee recommended a materiality threshold of 5% in the determination of
73	which stream to reconcile: at 5% threshold, 94.98% of total revenues will be reconciled. The
74	relevant material revenue flows would therefore be: domestic crude, federation equity &

75	profit oil, PPT, and royalty oil.	
76	<b>PRAYER:</b> The NSWG is required to approve the materiality threshold of 5% and above of	
77		total revenues to be considered material.
78	Dr. Bernard Verr moved the motion which was seconded by Alhaji Lawan Gana Lantewa.	
79	<b>BE IT RESOLVED</b> accordingly	
80	<b>3. Materiality of State Owned Enterprise(SOE) transactions</b>	
81	That sale of equity crude oil, revenues from in-kind flows as material transactions	
82	<b>PRAYER:</b> The NSWG is required to approve the above listed transactions as material SOE	
83		transactions.
84	Dr. Bernard Verr moved the motion which was seconded by Alhaji Lawan Gana Lantewa.	
85	<b>BE IT RESOLVED</b> accordingly	
86	<b>4. In-kind payments</b>	
87	It is the percentage contribution of each category of in-kind revenues to total oil revenue, and	
88		the 5% materiality threshold. The NSWG should agree that in-kind flows allocated for the
89		settlement of royalty and PPT are material for the purposes of EITI reporting.
90	<b>PRAYER:</b> The NSWG is expected to approve the above materiality for in-kind payments.	
91	Alhaji Lawan Gana Lantewa seconded the motion after being moved by Dr. Bernard Verr.	
92	<b>BE IT RESOLVED</b> that the recommendation for the materiality of in-kind payments be and	
93		is hereby approved.
94	<b>5. Transport revenue</b>	
95	Transport revenue is based on percentage contribution (0.1%) to the total revenue for the	
96		year.
97	Furthermore, the NSWG is required to confirm that a reporting procedure which involves	
98		collecting transportation revenue data from covered entities via a reporting template and
99		unilaterally disclosure was adopted.
100	Finally, it is recommended that the NSWG should confirm that the Independent	
101		Administrator (IA) strictly followed the data assurance procedures as set out in the Terms of
102		Reference (ToR) for the conduct of the report.
103	<b>PRAYER:</b> The NSWG is required to approve the:	
104	a) unilateral disclosure of this payment;	
105	b) reporting procedure;	

106	c) IA followed the data assurance procedure as in the ToR.
107	Dr. Bernard Verr moved the motions which were seconded by Alhaji Lawan Gana Lantewa.
108	<b>BE IT RESOLVED</b> that the prayers be and are hereby approved.
109	<b>6. Sub-national payment</b>
110	i. To state whether companies make direct payments to sub-national governments after
111	a review of the requirement and the guidance notes and some research.
112	ii. To confirm that the 3% statutory contribution required from upstream
113	companies is paid directly to the NDDC which the NSWG characterises as a
114	sub-national entity.
115	iii. This payment is not material as it represents 1.56% of the total revenue of
116	\$24.790billion for oil and gas in 2015: this is below the 5% threshold.
117	iv. That NDDC data is collected from NDDC and reconciled with company
118	receipts in fulfillment of the NEITI Act 2007. Reporting templates were
119	used and the IA abided with the data assurance procedures set for this coverage.
120	<b>PRAYER:</b> The NSWG is required to approve:
121	a) NDDC payments are subnational payments;
122	b) unilateral disclosure for EITI reporting
123	Alhaji Lawan Gana Lantewa seconded the motion after being moved by Dr. Bernard Verr.
124	<b>BE IT RESOLVED</b> that the prayers be and are hereby approved.
125	<b>7. Sub-national transfers</b>
126	The following recommendations were made by the NSWG after some assessments:
127	i. There was a material resource-related revenue transfer between national and sub-
128	national government entities. After a review of the requirement, it was established
129	that the 13% derivation to mineral producing states falls into this category as the
130	Nigeria Constitution mandates this transfer. There is also an agreed formula in the
131	Constitution which RMAFC is mandated to follow in determining the amount and
132	timing of these transfers. In addition, the Central Bank of Nigeria (CBN), Office of
133	the Accountant General of the Federation(OAGF) and the Federation Account
134	Allocation Committee (FAAC) play roles in the collection and the actual transfers of
135	these revenues to the mineral producing states.
136	ii. The materiality of the extractive industry- related transfers between national and
137	subnational entities cannot be reconciled with the states and local governments in
138	view of their constitutional autonomy.

139	iii. Confirmation that the data was received from FAAC, OAGF, CBN and RMAFC in
140	addition to the basis for computation vis-a-vis the constitutional requirement and
141	that it is satisfied with the process.
142	iv. Confirmation that no ad-hoc procedure was noticed in the process.
143	v. That the findings would be included in the ToR for the IA.
144	<b>PRAYER:</b> The NSWG is expected to approve the above recommendations on subnational
145	transfers.
146	Alhaji Lawan Gana Lantewa seconded the motion after being moved by Dr. Bernard Verr.
147	<b>BE IT RESOLVED</b> that these recommendations be and are hereby approved.
148	<b>8. Quasi fiscal expenditure</b>
149	In 2015, a budgetary provision for subsidy was N145.515billion. However, subsidy payment
150	approved by PPPRA was N317.280billion, causing a difference of N 171.765 billion. The
151	NSWG is requested to consider this difference as a quasi-fiscal expenditure in 2015 and
152	immaterial as it falls below the materiality threshold set of 5%. Unilateral disclosure was
153	done since it fell below the materiality level
154	<b>PRAYER:</b> The NSWG is required to approve that the difference is
155	a) a quasi-fiscal expenditure;
156	b) immaterial (less than 5%).
157	The motion to approve both prayers was moved by Dr. Bernard Verr and seconded by Alhaji
158	Lawan Gana Lantewa.
159	<b>BE IT RESOLVED</b> accordingly.
160	<b>9. Infrastructure provisions and barter arrangements</b>
161	It is the recommendation that Offshore Processing Agreement (OPA) is a barter arrangement.
162	Considering the volume (97,564,141 bbls) and value (\$23,995,469,375), the NSWG classifies
163	this transaction as material.
164	The NSWG is required to confirm that a reporting procedure was established for the OPA
165	which involved collecting data from PPMC (NNPC) and reconciling the data with source
166	documents from PPMC.
167	<b>PRAYER-</b> The NSWG is required to approve OPA:
168	a) as a barter arrangement;
169	b) as a material payment and
170	c) confirmation of the reporting procedure.
171	Dr. Bernard Verr moved the motions which were seconded by Alhaji Lawan Gana Lantewa.

172	<b>BE IT RESOLVED</b> that the prayers be and are hereby approved
173	<b><u>Definitions under the 2015 Oil &amp; Gas Report</u></b>
174	<b>10. NNPC as a State Owned Enterprise (SOE)</b>
175	The NNPC is a corporation established to engage in all commercial activities relating to the
176	petroleum industry. The NNPC participates as JV partners with oil companies on behalf of
177	the FGN. The NSWG also observed that there were no changes in ownership of any NNPC
178	subsidiaries or NNPC shares in NLNG during the period.
179	<b>PRAYER:</b> The NSWG is requested to approve that NNPC is a SOE.
180	The motion to approve the prayer was moved by Dr. Bernard Verr and seconded by Alhaji
181	Lawan Gana Lantewa.
182	<b>BE IT RESOLVED</b> accordingly.
183	<b>11. Transport revenue</b>
184	The recommendation is that “transport revenue” be defined as “crude handling charge/fee
185	paid by third party users of the pipelines owned by JV companies (of which NNPC owns
186	between 55% to 60% equity) as transportation revenue for the period under review”.
187	Furthermore, it is requested that the NSWG should identify the conveyance of crude through
188	pipelines as the only activity that fits the transportation revenue requirement as prescribed by
189	the 2016 EITI Standard.
190	<b>PRAYERS:</b> The NSWG is required to approve definition of transportation revenue as well
191	as identifying the mode of conveying crude oil.
192	The motion to approve the prayers was moved by Dr. Bernard Verr and seconded by Alhaji
193	Lawan Gana Lantewa.
194	<b>BE IT RESOLVED</b> that the prayers be and are hereby approved.
195	<b>12. Sub-national payment &amp; sub-national government agency</b>
196	The committee recommends the definition of sub-national payment as “a direct payment to a
197	sub-national government as a result of contractual obligation, national law or local regulation;
198	and a sub-national government agency is an agency whose scope of operation is at the sub-
199	national level”.
200	<b>PRAYERS:</b> The NSWG to approve definition of subnational payment and subnational
201	agency as recommended by the committee.
202	Alhaji Lawan Gana Lantewa seconded the motion after been moved by Dr. Bernard Verr.
203	<b>BE IT RESOLVED</b> accordingly.

204	<b>13. Social expenditure</b>
205	This was defined by the committee as “social payments/contributions by extractive
206	companies to stakeholders as may be mandated by law, discretionary, part of a company’s
207	legal and contractual obligations and can be made either in kind, to a third party, or to a
208	government agency”.
209	<b>PRAYER:</b> The NSWG is requested to approve the definition of social expenditure as
210	recommend by the committee.
211	The motion to approve both prayers was moved by Dr. Bernard Verr and seconded by Alhaji
212	Lawan Gana Lantewa.
213	<b>BE IT RESOLVED</b> that the definition of social expenditure be and is hereby approved.
214	<b>14. Quasi-fiscal expenditure</b>
215	The committee’s definition is “material public expenditure made by a SOE or its subsidiaries
216	on behalf of the State outside the budgetary process”.
217	<b>PRAYER:</b> The NSWG is required to approve the definition of quasi fiscal expenditure as
218	recommended by the committee.
219	Dr. Bernard Verr moved the motion which was seconded by Alhaji Lawan Gana Lantewa.
220	<b>BE IT RESOLVED</b> that the definition of quasi fiscal expenditure be and is hereby approved.
221	<b><u>On NSWG confirmations for the 2015 Oil &amp; gas Report</u></b>
222	<b>15. Assessment of comprehensiveness</b>
223	To ensure comprehensiveness of EITI reporting, the committee reviewed the data submitted
224	by material companies to determine that all material companies provided data and to confirm
225	that non-complying material companies that made no payment for either category of
226	payments had legitimate reasons.
227	<b>PRAYER:</b> The NSWG is required to confirm the assessment of comprehensiveness of the
228	data.
229	The motion to approve the assessment of comprehensiveness was moved by Dr. Bernard Verr
230	and seconded by Alhaji Lawan Gana Lantewa.
231	<b>BE IT RESOLVED</b> that the confirmation of the comprehensiveness of the data be and is
232	hereby approved.
233	<b>16. Assessment of data quality</b>
234	The ad-hoc committee recommends that the NSWG should confirm its satisfaction with the



235	comprehensiveness and reliability of the reconciled financial data as sufficient for the
236	purpose of the EITI process.
237	<b>PRAYER:</b> The NSWG is expected to confirm the assessment of data quality as adequate.
238	Bernard Verr moved the motions which were seconded by Alhaji Lawan Gana Lantewa.
239	<b>BE IT RESOLVED</b> accordingly.
240	<b><u>On awards and transfer of licences.</u></b>
241	<b>17. Re-award</b>
242	The ad-hoc committee recommends the NSWG to approve that there were no known
243	deviations from the applicable legal and regulatory framework governing license re-award
244	process for OPLs 2001, 2002 and 2003 by DPR in 2015.
245	<b>PRAYER:</b> The NSWG is required to approve the above on license re-award.
246	Motion was moved by Dr. Bernard Verr and seconded by Alhaji Lawan Gana Lantewa.
247	<b>BE IT RESOLVED</b> accordingly.
248	<b>18. Transfer</b>
249	The NSWG is expected to approve that there were no known deviations from the applicable
250	legal and regulatory framework governing license transfers and award in the transfer process
251	of OMLs 29 and 18 in 2015.
252	<b>PRAYER:</b> The NSWG is required to approve the above on transfer of license.
253	The motion was seconded by Alhaji Lawan Gana Lantewa after it was moved by Dr. Bernard
254	Verr.
255	<b>BE IT RESOLVED</b> accordingly.
256	<b>19. Nigeria Sao Tome Joint Development Authority(JDA)</b>
257	The NSWG is invited to approve that there were no noticed deviations in the re-award of
258	Block 1 by the Nigeria Sao Tome Joint Development Authority as the financial and technical
259	criteria were in accordance with the Nigeria Sao-Tome Joint Development Zone Petroleum
260	Regulations 2003 used for the re-award of the Block.
261	<b>PRAYER:</b> The NSWG to approve the above on re-award for Nigeria Sao Tome JDA block.
262	Motion was moved by Dr Bernard Verr and seconded by Alhaji Lawan Gana Lantewa.
263	<b>BE IT RESOLVED</b> accordingly.

264	<b>2015 SOLID MINERALS REPORT</b>
265	<b>1. Revenue streams</b>
266	The NSWG is invited to approve all the revenue streams (53) that accrue to the Federal
267	Government from solid minerals.
268	<b>PRAYER:</b> The approval of the NSWG is sought for the list of revenue streams.
269	The motion was moved by Dr. Bernard Verr and seconded by Alhaji Lawan Gana Lantewa.
270	<b>BE IT RESOLVED</b> that the NSWG approved all the revenue streams for the conduct of the
271	EITI report.
272	<b>2. Basis for determining materiality threshold</b>
273	For the purposes of determining materiality the ad-hoc committee considered the following:
274	i. significance of each revenue stream, and
275	ii. percentage contribution of each stream to the total revenue.
276	<b>PRAYER:</b> The NSWG is invited to approve the basis of determining materiality.
277	The motion was moved by Dr. Bernard Verr and seconded by Alhaji Lawan Gana Lantewa.
278	<b>BE IT RESOLVED</b> that the NSWG approved the options in the determination of materiality
279	threshold for the conduct of the EITI report
280	<b>3. Materiality threshold for revenue streams</b>
281	The NSWG is required to approve royalty as the only stream for materiality.
282	<b>PRAYER:</b> The NSWG to approve royalty for materiality for companies to be covered.
283	Alhaji Lawan Gana Lantewa seconded the motion after it was moved by Dr. Bernard Verr.
284	<b>BE IT RESOLVED</b> accordingly.
285	<b>4. Materiality threshold for companies</b>
286	The NSWG is invited to agree that companies operating under a mining or quarrying license
287	which made royalty payments of N3 million and above were considered to be covered for
288	reconciliation.
289	<b>PRAYER:</b> The NSWG is required to approve royalty payment of N3 million and above as
290	the basis for reconciliation of companies.
291	Alhaji Lawan Gana Lantewa seconded the motion after it was moved by Dr. Bernard Verr.
292	<b>BE IT RESOLVED</b> accordingly.

293	<b>5. Each revenue stream</b>
294	The NSWG is expected to approve that actual contribution of each revenue stream to the total
295	revenue from the sector was computed. The analysis shows that FIRS payments constitute
296	more than 90% of the total revenue accrued to the sector. These are Value Added tax,
297	Company Income Tax, Education Tax, and Withholding Tax.
298	<b>PRAYER:</b> The NSWG is required to approve the above.
299	Alhaji Lawan Gana Lantewa seconded the motion after it was moved by Dr. Bernard Verr.
300	<b>BE IT RESOLVED</b> that the prayer be and is hereby approved.
301	<b><u>On definitions in the 2015 Solid Minerals Report</u></b>
302	<b>6. Definition of sub-national payment and sub-national government agency</b>
303	The ad-hoc committee recommended for the approval of the NSWG the following definition
304	of sub-national payment as “a direct payment to a sub-national government as a result of
305	contractual obligation, national law or local regulation; and a sub-national government agency
306	is an agency whose scope of operation is at the sub- national level. And that direct sub-
307	national payment exists in the solid minerals sector with the payment of the 13% derivation.
308	<b>PRAYER:</b> The NSWG is called to approve definitions of subnational payment and
309	subnational agency as recommended.
310	Bernard Verr moved the motions which were seconded by Alhaji Lawan Gana Lantewa.
311	<b>BE IT RESOLVED</b> accordingly.
312	<b>7. Social expenditure</b>
313	The recommended definition is “payments/contributions by extractive companies to
314	stakeholders as may be mandated by law, discretionary, part of a company’s legal and
315	contractual obligations and can be either in kind, to a third party, or to a government agency”.
316	<b>PRAYER:</b> The NSWG to approve definition of social expenditure as above.
317	The motion was moved by Dr. Bernard Verr and seconded by Alhaji Lawan Gana Lantewa.
318	<b>BE IT RESOLVED</b> that the definition of social expenditure be and is hereby approved
319	<b><u>Requirements not applicable to 2015 Solid Minerals Report</u></b>
320	The NSWG is invited to note and approve that some of the requirements of the EITI Standard
321	are not applicable to the solid minerals report. These are that there were:
322	i. No SOEs in solid minerals sector in Nigeria;
323	ii. No in-kind payments in solid minerals sector;
324	iii. No infrastructure and or barter arrangements in the solid minerals sector.

325 326	<b>PRAYER:</b> The NSWG is required to approve that the above requirements are not applicable to the solid minerals report in Nigeria.
327	Dr. Bernard Verr moved the motion which was seconded by Alhaji Lawan Gana Lantewa.
328	<b>BE IT RESOLVED</b> that the prayers be and are hereby approved.
329	<b>AUDIT AND RISK COMMITTEE MEETING</b>
330	The Chairman of the Audit & Risk Committee, Dr. Bernard Verr, informed the meeting that
331	the committee met on the 12 <sup>th</sup> June 2018 to deliberate on the Audited Accounts of NEITI for
332	2017 (Accounts) the Chairman made a short presentation of the Accounts. The committee
333	was satisfied with management explanation of some of the issues raised at the committee
334	level and would therefore recommend the Accounts to the NSWG for approval.
335	<b>PRAYER:</b> For the NSWG to approve the Accounts as recommended by the committee.
336	The motion to approve the Accounts was moved by Alhaji Lawan Gana Lantewa and
337	seconded by Mr. Kolawole Banwo
338	<b>BE IT RESOLVED</b> that the recommended Accounts by the committee be and is hereby
339	approved by the NSWG.
340	<b>2017 EITI ANNUAL PROGRESS REPORT</b>
341	The ES informed the meeting that the 2017 EITI Annual Progress Report (APR) was due for
342	the approval of the NSWG. According to the EITI Guidance Note 5 Requirement 7.4 and 8.4,
343	the APR “is an important tool in managing the EITI implementation process. It helps an EITI
344	implementing country to critically assess its progress against the EITI requirements. It also
345	enables the NSWG to monitor if it is achieving its own objectives for implementation as
346	established in the NSWG work-plan, and if the EITI process is delivering the expected
347	results.”
348	<b>PRAYER:</b> The approval of the NSWG is sought to publish the APR on or before the EITI
349	deadline of 1 <sup>st</sup> July 2018.
350	The motion to approve and publish the APR was moved by Alhaji Lawan Gana Lantewa and
351	seconded by Ms. Hannatu Musawa.
352	<b>BE IT RESOLVED</b> that the APR be and is hereby approved for publication on or before the
353	1 <sup>st</sup> July 2018.
354	<b>ANY OTHER BUSINESS</b>
355	<b>North East Zonal Outreach</b>
356	The ES reminded the NSWG that the outreach is due for the 2 <sup>nd</sup> to 3 <sup>rd</sup> July 2018 in Bauchi.
357	<b>Next NSWG meeting</b>
358	The ES also informed the NSWG that because of the emergency meeting the next statutory
359	meeting of the NSWG is rescheduled to hold on the 16 <sup>th</sup> July 2018. This meeting would also
360	avail the EITI team on Validation to attend the meeting and have discussions thereafter with
361	some NSWG members.

362	<b>Amendment of the NSWG Board Charter</b>
363	Pursuant to the corrective measures recommended by the EITI Board after the 2016
364	Validation exercise, it has become necessary to amend the NSWG Board Charter to include a
365	feedback mechanism for liaison between NSWG members and their respective constituency
366	groups.
367	<b>PRAYER:</b> The NSWG is required to approve the amendment to the NSWG Board Charter
368	accordingly.
369	The motion was moved by Dr. Bernard Verr and seconded by Alhaji Lawan Gana Lantewa.
370	<b>BE IT RESOLVED</b> that the amendment to the NSWG Board Charter be and is hereby
371	approved for amendment in accordance with the prayer.
372	<b>Appointment/nomination of civil society organisations' (CSOs) representative on the</b>
373	<b>NSWG</b>
374	In line with the EITI Standard, CSOs are required to choose their representative on the
375	NSWG through an elective process. However, under the NEITI Act 2007, it is the prerogative
376	of the President of the Federation to appoint the CSO representative. Without circumventing
377	the NEITI Act, it is therefore necessary to provide a protocol that allows for the conduct of an
378	elective process by the CSOs that would assist in the President in exercising his prerogative in
379	favour of the elective CSO representative.
380	<b>PRAYER:</b> Approval of the protocol by the NSWG.
381	The motion was moved by Dr. Bernard Verr and seconded by Alhaji Lawan Gana Lantewa.
382	<b>BE IT RESOLVED</b> that the protocol for the election of a CSO representative on the NSWG
383	be and is hereby approved.
390	<b>CLOSING</b>
391	Motion for adjournment was proposed by Mr. Kolawole Banwo and seconded by Mrs.
392	Adaeze Onyekwena.
393	The meeting adjourned at 1100hrs sine die. Closing prayer was conducted by Alhaji Sani
394	Shehu.

**Chairman**

**Secretary**